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**Architectural Design Phase Defects Checklist [[1]](#footnote-1)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nr.** | **Check Point / Defect Statement** | **Check Mark (****) the Appropriate Column** | |
| **Yes** | **N/A** |
| A01 | Is the overall organization of the program clear, including good architectural overview? | x | - |
| A02 | Is the subsystem and package partitioning and layering logically consistent? | **-** | x |
| A03 | Does the architecture account for all of the requirements? | x | - |
| A04 | Are the classes in a subsystem supporting the services identified for the subsystem? | x | - |
| A05 | Is there a coherent error handling strategy provided? | **-** | **x** |
| A06 | Have classic design patterns been considered where they might be incorporated into the architecture? | x | - |
| A07 | Is the name and description of each class clearly reflecting the played role ? | x | - |
| A08 | Is the description of each class accurately capturing the responsibilities of the class? | - | x |
| A09 | Are the role names of aggregations and associations accurately describing the relationship between the related classes? | - | x |
| A10 | Are the key entity classes and their relationships are consistent with the business model (if it exists), domain model (if it exists), requirements? | x | - |
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